

Santan Landscaping and Mitigation Community Working Group June 13, 2001

Attendees

Michael Apergis
Mark Kwiat
Cathy Latona
Cathy Lopez
Nan Dawson
Marshall Green
Kimberly Pugh
Mark Bauman
George Pettit
Maggie Cathey

Absent

Jason Marton

SRP Attendees

Randy Dietrich
Russ Garrett

The second meeting of the CWG was conducted on the evening of June 13, 2001 in the Gilbert Municipal Building.

Mary Orton, group facilitator, distributed a list of CWG members with their phone numbers, email addresses and mailing addresses. Mary asked that each CWG member modify the document to insure that the information is up to date. The CWG members agreed that this is NOT information that will be disseminated to the public.

The CWG agreed to add an item to the agenda – a discussion on the proper level of HOA representation on the CWG. This was added in between items 4 and 5. A second item was added to the agenda – addressing the manner in which public notices of CWG meetings will be posted. This was added in between items 2 and 3 on the agenda. The agenda was approved with these additions.

The CWG discussed alternate ways to make the public aware of this group's meetings. George Pettit will investigate a suggestion to put these notices on the Gilbert television channel. However, George indicated that only official town meetings have been posted on the municipal television channel. This led to a discussion of whether members of the media should be invited or permitted to attend the CWG meetings. It was decided that since the meetings are open to the public that members could not prevent attendance by the media. It was agreed that the meetings would be posted on SRP's Santan website, that there would be a link to that site from the Town's website, and that meeting notices would be sent to the Tribune, the Arizona Republic, and the Independent.

Mary asked if the CWG members want to approve meeting minutes (they indicated that they did), and if they preferred to wait until the minutes were approved before SRP posts them to the website (they do want the minutes to be approved before posting).

Mary then walked the CWG members through an exercise relating to their expectations of the group. Those expectations are attached to these minutes.

Mary reviewed the draft ground rules with the group. There was extensive discussion on a number of the rules. Changes are shown in the attached set of rules. It was agreed that Mary will invite the public attendees at each CWG meeting to raise their hands if they want to speak. Unless public involvement becomes disruptive, she will call on members of the public at any time during the meeting. In any case, there will be time for public comment at each meeting.

The group agreed to a set of items for the agenda for the next meeting, which will be held from 8 am to noon on July 7, 2001 in the Gilbert Municipal Building. After discussion the group decided

not to set any additional meeting dates and times beyond the July 7 meeting. SRP will host two tours of the site to view the perimeter of the property; CWG members can attend either of the two tours. These will take place at 4:30 PM on June 15 and 8 AM on June 23. SRP will also investigate arranging for a crane to be on site at some future day. The crane would be extended to a height of 150 feet, and would be located at the approximate spot where the stacks will be constructed.

Santan Landscaping and Mitigation Community Working Group

June 13, 2001

When you look back and reflect on the time you spent on the CWG and what you accomplished, what would you like to be able to say?

- All of us worked together as a sound unit.
- We made it the best we could for the homeowner's associations.
- We focused on landscape mitigation.
- We did our best for the citizens of the Town of Gilbert, today and tomorrow.
- We worked as a team.
- We worked together and did a great job.
- There was a net improvement on the streetscape- the roads looked better.
- When you drive by Val Vista and Warner, we made it as invisible as possible.
- All changes to the plant, except the stacks, are unnoticeable.
- The stacks were mitigated as much as possible.
- We hid the plant as much as possible, on site and off site.
- We mitigated any damage to property values.
- We used our imaginations and didn't get hung up on restrictions.
- We made it nice.
- We saw consensus.
- There was lots of input from all.
- We mitigated the problems.
- Everyone in the room came away with a satisfactory plan that was implemented.
- We worked hard for the closestby neighborhoods and mitigated the effect on their home values.
- The corner didn't become a landmark.
- We made it as pleasant a corner as we could.
- We were concerned about the immediate surrounding neighborhoods.
- Everyone brought their strengths and left their weaknesses behind.
- We used common sense and imagination.
- Everyone was comfortable with the plan at the end of the process.
- We were considerate.

Santan Landscaping and Mitigation Community Working Group June 13, 2001

Ground Rules – Draft 2

Authority and Charge

1. Decision No. 63611 of the Arizona Corporation Commission regarding the expansion of the Santan Generating Station mandated the formation of the Community Working CWG (CWG).
2. Condition #7 of that decision outlines the charge of the CWG. Condition #7 reads, in part, "The objective of the CWG shall be to refine the landscaping and mitigation concept plans submitted during these hearings (Exhibit 88)."
3. The CWG will focus its discussions on the objectives and tasks outlined in Condition #7, in conjunction with Conditions # 8, 9, and 29.

Problem Solving and Decision Making

4. In general, the CWG members will:
 - Identify an issue
 - Understand the issue from the other members' perspectives
 - Understand the issue's effect on the community and the plant site
 - Develop and refine options
 - Come to a consensus
5. The CWG will make its decisions and recommendations based on consensus of its members. The CWG will reach consensus on an issue when it finally agrees upon a single alternative and each participant can honestly say:
 - I believe that other members understand my point of view;
 - I believe I understand other members' points of view;
 - Whether or not I prefer this decision, I support it because it was arrived at openly and fairly, and it is the best solution for us at this time.
6. CWG members are strongly committed to operating by consensus. In those rare occasions when consensus is not possible, and as a last resort, the CWG will vote on an issue. An affirmative vote by three-quarters of the total CWG members will be required to approve a motion.

General

7. Members will
 - attend all meetings.
 - be open and honest, and speak in a civil manner to everyone.
 - listen closely as others speak, and not interrupt.
 - focus on the future rather than on the past.
 - help develop ideas that will be acceptable to everyone involved.
8. In addition, members will
 - use civil discourse as a minimum standard.
 - not tolerate personal attacks.
 - not impugn the motivations and intentions of others.
 - regard disagreements as "problems to be solved," rather than as "battles to be won."
 - respect the personal integrity and values of others.
 - not employ delay as a tactic to avoid an undesired result.

Substitutes and Observers

9. Members may send written authorization for a ~~CWG~~ member or a substitute to indicate consensus or vote in their behalf. Votes in this case will count as part of the three quarters vote needed for approval.
10. All meetings are open to the public and time will be set aside at every meeting for public comment.

Media

11. All meetings will be open to the media. CWG members are not authorized to speak to the media on behalf of the CWG.

Logistics

12. Meetings will be held per the agreed upon schedule.
13. No audible cell phones or pagers are to be brought into the meeting. Anyone operating a tape recorder is asked to alert the group to that fact.
14. Notice of the meetings will be sent to appropriate, free, public access calendars.
15. Agendas, materials presented during the meetings, and approved summaries of the meetings will be put on a website to which the public has access.

Roles

16. The facilitator will be responsible for:
 - Preparing draft agendas for meetings
 - The deliberations of the meetings
 - Staying neutral and not being judgmental about what is said
 - Assisting with ideas for achieving consensus, when appropriate
 - Keeping control and focus of the meetings
17. SRP will be responsible for:
 - Communications with CWG members
 - Preparation of material
 - Logistical support of the CWG
 - Preparation and distribution of meeting summaries
 - Posting proceedings on the website

Deadline

18. The CWG will complete its work by [date].

Enforcement of Ground Rules

19. It is the joint responsibility of the CWG and facilitator to assure that these ground rules are observed. Members are free to question, in good faith, actions of others that may come within the scope of these ground rules.